

BANGOR DAILY WHIG AND COURIER.

BY BOUTELLE BROTHERS.

ENTERED AS SECOND
CLASS MAIL MATTER.


BANGOR, MAINE, THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1896.

Price Three Cents.

VOL. LXIII.—NO. 115.

There's no help wanted
from soap or anything else, when you use
Pearline. Pearline and water—all alone by
themselves—that's everything you need for
the best, the easiest, the safest, the most
economical washing and cleaning. What
help can soap add to it? You might
just as well get a horse to help a
locomotive. Anything that soap can
do, Pearline can do better—and
can do a great deal more besides.

Send Peddles and some unscrupulous
grocers will tell you "that is as
good as" or "the same as
it Back Pearline." IT'S FALSE!
Pearline is never peddled, and if your grocer
sends you something in place of Pearline,
be honest—send it back.



WILL MAKE A WEEK OF IT!

OUR SALE OF

Garments, Dress Skirts, Wrappers, Dress Goods, and Cotton Fabrics,

in response to our last advertisement,
has been something almost unprece-
dented in our business. The longer the
sale continued the larger the crowds be-
came, and in order to give everybody an
ample opportunity to take advantage of
the most exceptional bargains which we
have ever offered, we have decided

TO CONTINUE THE SALE THROUGH
THE ENTIRE WEEK.

COME IN THE FOREGROUND IF POSSIBLE.

"THE FASHION,"
WOOD & EVER.

The Best Shoe Store.

It is my candid opinion that I have the best shoes in town. "Best" means style, good leather, good workmanship, little prices.

My prices are never so low that qualities have to be lowed. I don't want to make one sale of "cheap" shoes and never see a customer the second time. I want to please every man and woman so well that they'll never go anywhere else to buy.

A Chance to Save Money.

I am selling a lady's Cloth Top Button and Lace Boot for \$2.50. It has the new razer toes. It is worth every cent of \$3, while the same quality would cost you \$3.50 in the other stores. It comes in B, C, and D widths. In my 12 years' experience in the shoe business in Bangor I do not remember a time when such a decided bargain as this could be had for \$2.50.

If you prefer you can have a round toe or kid button boot at the same price. Also Ladies', Misses', and Children's Cloth Tops with spring heels.

I have a lady clerk to wait upon my lady patrons.

CHARLES J. JONES, 48 MAIN STREET, BANGOR.

TO-DAY

and following days at 2.30 p. m. we shall continue the greatest

Auction Sale of Oriental Rugs, etc.,

in the history of Bangor. Yesterday's sale, in point of bargains, was the most phenomenal. Rugs were sold without regard to cost. Everybody should go to the sale and be convinced at

84 MAIN STREET.

F. S. DAVENPORT, Auctioneer.

May 12 '96

A GENUINE BONANZA

On Thursday, Friday, and Saturday we offer for sale

100 Boys' Combination Suits,

age 6 to 15, suits with extra pant and cap to match at \$2.50; a neat mixture of an excellent material. Money refunded if this suit can be bought in any other store in Bangor less than \$4.00.

J. WATERMAN,

Solely One Price Clothier, EXCHANGE ST., BANGOR.

Coming in every
Day in
the Week.

C. W. COFFIN,
Special Agent, BUTTERICK'S Patterns and Publications.
Send for latest catalogues and sheets—F. S. D.

**NEW FLOWERS,
NEW HATS,
LATEST NOVELTIES**

THE NATIONAL GAME.
Fall Rivers Lose With Bangor
Wednesday.

Augustas Slaughtered by Brockton and the
Lawtonians Also Lost.

Boston and Chicago's Have Continuous Per-
formance of Fight.

PORTLAND, May 13.—McCoy redeemed
himself today for his bad work yesterday
and the pitcher, who had given up
four runs in the first four hours, had
a ripple of excitement in the ninth when
Lajoie and Lyons opened with clean hits. Kloeden followed,
in place of Lincoln, and tried for a home
run. The ball fell inside the fence and was
caught by Woods. The next two men up were easy outs.

Portland..... 2 0 1 0 3 0 1 x—7
Fall River..... 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 —6
Hartford..... Fall River, 10 runs, Elyson,
Portland, 10 runs, Elyson, McCoy
and Duncan; Lincoln and Import.

Augustas Slaughtered.

AUGUSTA, May 13.—Korwan proved a
great puzzler to the home team today,
and they were not in the game for a minute. Attendance 400.

Brockton..... 0 1 2 3 2 3 3 —9
Augusta..... 0 1 0 0 1 1 2 —1

Hartford..... 1; Augusta, 4. Elyson,
Brockton, 10 runs, McCoy and Duncan;
Augusta, 10 runs, McCoy and Duncan;

Lawtonians Lost to Ely's Hatters.

Lawtonians, May 13.—Legislation in the
pitch with two men out, drove in three runs.
Forced pitch well after the first three innings, when bases on balls gave
the visitors a lead that could not be overcome.
Site are made several good plays
marked by bad throws. Attendance, 200.

New Bedford..... 2 1 1 2 0 0 0 —7
Lawton..... 0 1 0 0 2 0 0 —4

Hartford..... Fall River, 10 runs, Elyson,
Portland, 10 runs, Elyson, McCoy
and Duncan; Lincoln and Import.

New England League Standing.

Portland..... 1 3 7 10 10 10 10 —75
Fall River..... 7 5 10 7 10 7 10 —75
Brockton..... 8 6 9 8 9 8 9 —75
Portland..... 8 6 9 8 9 8 9 —75
New Bedford..... 9 7 10 9 10 9 10 —75
Lawton..... 2 8 10 2 10 2 10 —75

Game Given to Boston.

CHICAGO, May 13.—The Chicago-Boston
game given to Boston, to the score of 9 to 6, was rendered to play
after the eleventh inning. The score at
the end of that inning was Chicago, 4;
Boston, 3.

The biggest kind of a wrangle ensued
at the game. At the end of the tenth inn-
ing, Boston was one too many contracts
but Keefe was called the game, the
Cots played for delay and after Boston
had scored 6 runs Keefe gave the
visitors the verdict, 9 to 6. Anson filed
a protest in the sixth because Tucker
drove in, to the ground, as he ran
by, after making a wild pitch to left.
The men came to blows and during the
fight Everett was touched out.

Dahlen followed with a drive over the
inner fence but Keefe would allow only
three bases on it as the ball was returned
in time. The protest was overruled.

On motion of Mr. Frye the appropriation
of \$1,403,000 for the work at Sabine
Pass was voted to order at 10 a. m.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Gorman reminded Mr. Vest that
under the existing contracts, Congress
had given to the Secretary of War
the engineering corps the power to
determine how much should be expended.

Mr. Vest denied that that suggestion
touched the case at all.

Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

At the conclusion of the discussion
Mr. Gorman defended his amendment.
One reason for it, he said, was that for
the last two years the business of the
Senate had gone into the wholesale
business of contracts and it was
only because of the condition of the
treasury that he offered the amendment.
It was not offered with a view of impeding
the work of the War Department.

Mr. Lindsey (Rep.) offered an
amendment directing the Secretary of
War to adjust conflicting contracts so
that no more than 20 per cent. of any of
the appropriations shall be expended in
any single year. The amendment was
defeated by a vote of 10 to 9.

